

Title 15 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Subtitle 20 SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION

Chapter 07 Agricultural Operation Nutrient Management Plan Requirements

Authority: Agriculture Article, §§8-801—8-806, Annotated Code of Maryland

.01 TEXT UNCHANGED

.02 Incorporation by Reference.

The performance and technical standards provided in this subtitle are found in the Department of Agriculture's Maryland Nutrient Management Manual (November 1999), Supplement No. 1 (September 2000), Supplement No. 2 (November 2001), Supplement No. 3 (September 2004), Supplement No. 4 (November 2005), and Supplement No. 5 (November 2006), [and] Supplement No. 6 (May 2009) and Supplement No. 7 (September 2011) which are incorporated by reference.

.03 — .07 TEXT UNCHANGED

Title 15
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Subtitle 20 SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION

Chapter 07 Agricultural Operation Nutrient Management Plan Requirements

Authority: Agriculture Article §§8-801 *et seq.*, Annotated Code of Maryland

Notice of Proposed Action

The Secretary of Agriculture proposes to amend Regulation .02 under **COMAR 15.20.07 Agricultural Operation Nutrient Management Plan Requirements**. Regulation .02 incorporates by reference the *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual*, which sets forth certain performance and technical standards that apply to this subtitle. The proposed amendment adds Supplement 7 to the manual. The proposed amendment also affects Regulation .02 under **COMAR 15.20.08 Content and Criteria for a Nutrient Management Plan Developed for an Agricultural Operation**, which likewise refers to this manual and indicates that it is incorporated by reference in COMAR 15.20.07.02.

Statement of Purpose

The purpose of this action is to add Supplement No. 7 to the *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual*, which is incorporated by reference under COMAR 15.20.07.02. The proposed action to the manual includes: making certain changes that pertain to the timing, rates, and methods of nutrient application; specifying certain management practices that relate to crop production and the storage and handling of certain stackable organic nutrient sources; establishing a certain date on which the application of nutrients in winter months, with certain exceptions, will be prohibited; establishing certain setback requirements for the application of crop nutrients and a certain date on which they shall be implemented; establishing certain guidelines relating to the use of soil amendments and soil conditioners on agricultural land; and establishing other measures relating to the implementation of the Department's Nutrient Management Program.

Comparison to Federal Standards
(Check one option)

√ There is no corresponding federal standard to this proposed regulation.

or

There is a corresponding federal standard to this proposed regulation, but the proposed regulation is not more restrictive or stringent.

or

In compliance with Executive Order 01.01.1996.03, this proposed regulation is more restrictive or stringent than corresponding federal standards as follows:

- (1) Regulation citation and manner in which it is more restrictive than the applicable federal standard:

- (2) Benefit to the public health, safety or welfare, or the environment:

- (3) Analysis of additional burden or cost on the regulated person:

- (4) Justification for the need for more restrictive standards:

Impact Statements
Part A
 (check one option)

Estimate of Economic Impact

The proposed action has no economic impact.

or

√ The proposed action has an economic impact.
 Complete the following form in its entirety.

I. Summary of Economic Impact.

The proposed action has the potential for both positive and negative economic impacts. While the proposal will not have a fiscal impact on the Department, it may impact individual farm operations and associated industries. Generally, proposed changes affecting the rate, timing and method of nutrient application (which are based on the latest research, and ensuing recommendations, by the University of Maryland) will have a positive impact, particularly in terms of improved efficiency in the use and management of crop nutrients on farms. While additional management practices may be required in certain situations that result in a farm operation incurring additional costs, current state and federal programs can offset much of these costs.

II. Types of Economic Impacts.

		<u>Revenue (R+/R-)</u> <u>Expenditure (E+/E-)</u>	<u>Magnitude</u>
A.	On issuing agency:	None	
B.	On other State agencies:	None	
C.	On local governments:	None	
		<u>Benefit(+)</u> <u>Cost (-)</u>	<u>Magnitude</u>
D.	On regulated industries or trade groups:	(+) (-)	Unquantified
E.	On other industries or trade groups:	(+) (-)	Unquantified
F.	Direct and indirect effects on public:	(+) (-)	Unquantified

III. Assumptions. (Identified by Impact Letter and Number from Section II).

D. Impacts on regulated industries or trade groups

Generally, proposed changes affecting the rate, timing and method of nutrient application (which are based on the latest research, and ensuing recommendations, by the University of Maryland) will have a positive impact, particularly in terms of improved efficiency in the use and management of crop nutrients on farms. Certain farms may have already adopted the technical recommendations included in the proposal and already realized the economic benefits of improved management and efficiency. The greatest positive economic benefit will be to those farm operations that are not currently using the proposed technical recommendations.

Negative economic impacts related to additional management practices that may be required can be mitigated with the continued availability of state and federal programs providing incentives to offset these costs.

E. Impacts on other industries or trade groups

The proposal establishes a certain date after which the winter application of crop nutrients will be prohibited. Winter application is generally an operational requirement where storage facilities are not available to contain materials for more optimal application relative to crop utilization. Under current regulation, chemical fertilizers and manures are generally prohibited from being applied between November 16 and February 28. The proposal (a) clarifies that winter application requirements apply broadly to all nutrients including all organic sources, municipal biosolids (sewage sludge) and food processing wastes and (b) prohibits winter application after January 1, 2016. While state and federal programs currently exist to offset certain costs for farm operations, parallel programs currently are not available for the owner/operators of wastewater treatment facilities, food processors or other generators of organic nutrients, creating negative economic impacts. Providing a five-year window until such restrictions become effective is intended to allow farmers, wastewater treatment facilities and other entities to plan for storage or implement alternative technologies.

The potential exists for alternative uses for manures and other organic sources of nutrients that may reduce or eliminate costs associated

with waste storage and management. Certain technologies, such as the creation of energy from waste, have demonstrated positive economic cash flows, depending on the incentive programs available to support implementation.

The need in certain circumstance to construct new structural practices will have a positive impact on local economies and, in particular, the construction industry.

F. Direct and indirect effects on public

The public will benefit from the proposal through improved water quality and environmental conditions in local rivers and streams. The quality of life of Marylanders will improve by virtue of healthy local water bodies and additional measures toward a restored Chesapeake Bay.

Part B
(check one option)

Economic Impact on Small Businesses

- The proposed action has minimal or no economic impact on small businesses.

OR

- The proposed action has a meaningful economic impact on small businesses. An analysis of this economic impact follows.

Impact on Individuals with Disabilities

The proposed action has no impact on individuals with disabilities.

Opportunity for Public Comment

Comments may be sent to Jo A. Mercer, Ed.D., Administrator, Nutrient Management Program, **Maryland Department of Agriculture, 50 Harry S. Truman Parkway, Annapolis, Maryland 21401**, or by fax to **(410) 841-5950**, or call **(410) 841-5959**. These comments will be accepted through _____, 2011.

Part C

(For legislative use only; not for publication)

A. Fiscal Year in which regulations will become effective: FY 2012.

B. Does the budget for fiscal year in which regulations become effective contain funds to implement the regulations?

Yes

No

C. If "yes," state whether general, special (exact name), or federal funds will be used:

D. If "no," identify the source(s) of funds necessary for implementation of these regulations:

No additional resources projected for administration.

E. If these regulations have no economic impact under Part A, indicate reason briefly:

Not applicable.

F. If these regulations have minimal or no economic impact on small businesses under Part B, indicate the reason and attach small business worksheet.

See Assumptions under Part A, III.D., E., and F.

MARYLAND NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT MANUAL

Incorporated by reference into COMAR 15.20.07

Supplement No. 7
(September 2011)

The instructions below should be followed carefully. Remove the obsolete pages listed under the column "Remove Pages." Insert the new pages listed under the column "Insert Pages."

ALL PAGES ARE INCLUSIVE

<u>Nutrient Mgt. Manual</u>	<u>Remove Pages</u>	<u>Insert Pages</u>
Table of Contents	i - ii	i - ii
Table 1 — 4	I-B1-3 — I-B1-8	I-B1-3 — I-B1-8
Nutrient Application Guidelines	1-D1-1 — 1-D1-3	1-D1-1 — 1-D1-6
Guidelines for Application of Soil Conditioners, Soil Amendments, Waste Materials or Effluent on Agricultural Land (Summary of Existing Guidelines)		III-F-1 — III-F-3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION I. NUTRIENT RECOMMENDATIONS (BLUE TABS)

A. Introduction

B. Nutrient Recommendations by Crop

1. Agronomic Crop Nutrient Recommendations Based on Soil Tests and Yield Goals (University of Maryland Cooperative Extension, 2009)
2. Plant Nutrient Recommendations Based on Soil Tests for Vegetable Crop Production (University of Maryland Cooperative Extension, 2009)
3. Plant Nutrient Recommendations Based on Soil Tests for Sod Production (University of Maryland Cooperative Extension, 1999)
4. Nutrient Recommendations for Commercial Christmas Tree Production (University of Maryland Cooperative Extension, 2001)
5. Nutrient Management Recommendations for Commercial Cut Flower Production (University of Maryland Cooperative Extension, 2009)
6. Nutrient Management for Tree Fruits and Small Fruits (University of Maryland Cooperative Extension, 2003)
7. Nutrient Recommendations for Commercial Loblolly Pine Plantations in Maryland (University of Maryland cooperative Extension, 2006)

C. Estimated Mineralization Rates, Nitrogen Credits for Legumes, and Ammonia Conservation Factors for Organic Nitrogen (University of Maryland Cooperative Extension, 2009)

D. Nutrient Application Guidelines (Maryland Department of Agriculture, 2004)

E. Nutrient Recommendations for Non-Agricultural Land

1. Plant Nutrient Recommendations Based on Soil Tests for Turf Maintenance (University of Maryland Cooperative Extension, 1999)
2. Nutrient Management Guidelines for Commercial Turfgrass Seeding (University of Maryland Cooperative Extension, 2005)

SECTION II. ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT TOOLS (YELLOW TABS)

A. Introduction

- B. Converting Among Soil Test Analyses Frequently Used In Maryland** (University of Maryland Cooperative Extension, 2006)
- C. Phosphorous Site Index for Maryland** (University of Maryland Cooperative Extension, 2000)
- D. Environmental Risk Assessment for Out-Of-Ground Production** (Maryland Department of Agriculture, 2000)
- E. Best Management Practices for Container-Grown Plants** (Southern Nurserymen's Association, 1997)

SECTION III. ANIMAL MANURE AND WASTE MANAGEMENT (GRAY TABS)

A. Introduction

- B. Animal Unit Equivalencies** (Maryland Department of Agriculture, 2000)
- C. Manure Management** (Maryland Department of Agriculture, 1999)
- D. Manure Management Evaluation Form** (Maryland Department of Agriculture, 1999)
- E. Agricultural Waste Characteristics** (USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Agricultural Waste Management Field Handbook, 2008)
- F. Guidelines for Application of Soil Conditioners, Soil Amendments, Waste Materials or Effluent on Agricultural Land (Summary of Existing Guidelines)** (Maryland Department of Agriculture, 2011)

NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT LAW & REGULATIONS (GREEN TABS)

Table of Contents

Maryland Nutrient Management Law §8-801 *ff*

Nutrient Management Regulations COMAR 15.20.04, 15.20.05, 15.20.06, 15.20.07, 15.20.08

Maryland Commercial Fertilizer Law §6-201 *ff*

Definitions of Identity for Commercial Fertilizer COMAR 15.18.01

Sewage Sludge Management COMAR 26.04.06

Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO/MAFO) Updated Guidelines

Table 1. Plant Nutrient Recommendations Based on Soil Tests and Yield Goals for Corn Grain and Sorghum Grain Production

Crop	Nitrogen (N) pounds per acre ^{a,d}	N if no P&K recommended ^{a,d}	Recommended Nutrients Based on Soil Tests								Suggested methods of application
			Soil Phosphorus Index				Soil Potassium Index				
			Low	Med-ium	Opti-mum	Exces sive	Low	Medium	Opti-mum	Exces sive	
			P ₂ O ₅ pounds per acre ^{b,e}				K ₂ O pounds per acre ^{g,f}				
Field Corn for Grain^a											
Yield goal 140 b/A											
A. Conventional Tillage^{**}											
	140	140	65-135*	30-65*	20-30*	0	110-180*	60-110*	20-60*	0	Total Broadcast Banded with planter Sidedress
	30	30	35-95	0-25	0	0	80-140	30-70	0-30	0	
	30	0	30-40	30-40	20-30	0	30-40	30-40	20-30	0	
	80	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
B. No tillage^{**}											
1. Alternating No-tillage/Conventional Tillage											
	140	140	65-135*	30-65*	20-30	0	110-180*	60-110*	20-60*	0	Total Broadcast Banded with planter Sidedress
	30	30	35-95	0-25	0	0	80-140	30-70	0-30	0	
	30	0	30-40	30-40	20-30	0	30-40	30-40	20-30	0	
	80	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Continuous No-tillage^{***}											
	140	140	75-180*	30-70*	20-30*	0	110-180*	50-110*	20-50*	0	Total Broadcast Banded with planter Sidedress
	30	30	45-140	0-35	0	0	50-110	30-80	0-20	0	
	30	0	30-40	30-40	20-30	0	30-40	20-30	20-30	0	
	80	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grain sorghum^d											
Yield goal 100 bu/A											
	75	75	65-135*	30-65*	20-30*	0	80-150*	30-80*	20-30*	0	Total Broadcast Banded with planter
	45	75	35-95	0-25	0	0	50-110	0-40	0	0	
	30	30	30-40	30-40	20-30	0	30-40	30-40	20-30	0	

NOTES:

* Where ranges of nutrients are indicated for phosphorus and potassium, precise amount of plant nutrient required depends upon the numerical soil test index value for that nutrient.

** Recommendations assume that soil samples were taken from the conventional plow layer depth (0-8 inches) of all fields, including conventional, conservation, reduced and rotational tillage, as well as no-tillage management systems.

*** For continuous no-tillage, a separate soil sample should be collected from the 0-2 inch depth to monitor surface soil acidity (pH only).

A starter fertilizer is often beneficial in stimulating early plant growth, especially on cold wet soils. A complete starter fertilizer should supply 20 to 30 lbs/A of N, P₂O₅, and K₂O. If FIV-P is 150 or greater a P Site index calculation may prohibit addition of starter P₂O₅. If FIV-P is less than 100, then P₂O₅ may be applied to meet the total recommended. At sidedressing, subtract any starter N fertilizer already applied from the total recommended for the growing season.

^a Field Corn For Grain

Apply 1.0 lb N / bushel of expected grain yield up to 250 bu/A. No additional nitrogen is recommended for yield goals above 250 bu/A. Nitrogen recommendations assume split applications (sidedress or fertigation).

A pre-sidedress soil nitrogen test (PSNT) may be useful in determining whether additional nitrogen is needed for corn crops on fields that have received manure or other organic nutrient sources in the past. See University of Maryland Extension Publication SFM-2 for details.

For conventional-tillage or no-tillage corn:

- Where N solution (UAN) is the N source, N rate assumes injection or subsurface band placement.
- If UAN is surface broadcast at planting, increase rate by 15-20%.
- If sidedress UAN is dribbled or streamed on the soil surface, increase rate by 5-10%.
- If sidedress N source is granulated urea, increase rate by 25%.

Notes for Table 1 continue on page 4.

Table 1. Notes, continued from page 3.

^bFor corn yield goals above 140 bu / A, adjust P₂O₅ as follows:

- If phosphorus soil test index is less than 51, increase P₂O₅ 0.6 lb / A for each bushel of expected yield above 140 bushels.
- If phosphorus soil test index is between 51 and 100 lb / A, increase P₂O₅ 0.3 lb / A for each bushel of expected yield above 140 bushels.
- If phosphorus soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

^cFor corn yield goals above 140 bu / A, adjust K₂O as follows:

- If potassium soil test index is less than 51, increase K₂O 0.8 lb / A for each bushel of expected yield above 140 bu / A.
- If potassium soil test index is between 51 and 100 lb / A, increase K₂O 0.4 lb / A for each bushel of expected yield above 140 bu / A.
- If potassium soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

^dGrain Sorghum

For grain sorghum, apply 0.75 lb N / bushel of expected yield up to 125 bu / A. No additional nitrogen is recommended for yields above 125 bu / A.

For no-tillage grain sorghum:

Where N solution (UAN) is the N source, N rate assumes injection or subsurface band placement.

- If UAN is surface broadcast at planting, increase rate by 15-20%.
- If sidedress UAN is dribbled or streamed on the soil surface, increase rate by 5-10%.
- If sidedress N source is granulated urea, increase rate by 25%.

^eFor grain sorghum yield goals above 100 bu / A, adjust P₂O₅ as follows:

- If phosphorus soil test index is less than 51, increase P₂O₅ by 0.4 lb / A for every bushel of expected yield over 100 bu/A.
- If phosphorus soil test index is between 51 and 100, increase P₂O₅ by 0.2 lb / A for every bushel of expected yield over 100 bu/A.
- If phosphorus soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

^fFor grain sorghum yield goals above 100 bu / A, adjust K₂O as follows:

- If potassium soil test index is less than 51, increase K₂O by 0.6 lb / A for every bushel of expected yield over 100 bu/A.
- If potassium soil test index is between 51 and 100, increase K₂O by 0.3 lb / A for every bushel of expected yield over 100 bu/A.
- If potassium soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

Table 2. Plant Nutrient Recommendations Based on Soil Tests and Yield Goals for Corn Silage Production

Crop	Nitrogen (N) pounds per acre ^{a,b}	N if no P&K recommended ^{a,b}	Recommended Nutrients Based on Soil Tests								Suggested methods of application	
			Soil Phosphorus Level				Soil Potassium Level					
			Low	Med-ium	Opti-mum	Exces-sive	Low	Medium	Opti-mum	Exces-sive		
			P ₂ O ₅ pounds per acre ^c				K ₂ O pounds per acre ^d					
Corn Silage												
Yield goal 20 tons/A	120	120	55-125*	35-55*	20-35*	0	150-250*	80-150*	20-80*	0	Total	
A. Conventional tillage**												
	30	30	30-85	0	0	0	120-210	60-120	0-50	0	Broadcast	
	20	0	25-40	35-55	20-35	0	30-40	20-30	20-30	0	Banded with	
	70	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	planter	
											Sidedress	
B. No-tillage**												
1. Alternating no-tillage with conventional Tillage												
	120	120	55-125*	35-55*	20-35*	0	150-250*	80-150*	20-80*	0	Total	
	30	30	30-85	0	0	0	120-210	60-120	0-50	0	Broadcast	
	20	0	25-40	35-55	20-35	0	30-40	20-30	20-30	0	Banded with	
	70	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	planter	
											Sidedress	
2. Continuous no-tillage***												
	120	120	95-165*	35-95*	20-35*	0	150-250*	80-150*	20-80*	0	Total	
	30	30	55-125	0-55	0	0	120-210	60-120	0-50	0	Broadcast	
	20	0	40	35-40	20-35	0	30-40	20-30	20-30	0	Banded with	
	70	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	planter	
											Sidedress	

NOTES:

* Where ranges of nutrients are indicated for phosphorus and potassium, precise amount of plant nutrient required depends upon the numerical soil test index value for that nutrient.

** Recommendations assume that soil samples were taken from the conventional plow layer depth (0-8 inches) of all fields, including conventional, conservation, reduced and rotational tillage, as well as no-tillage management systems.

*** For continuous no-tillage, a separate soil samples should be collected from the 0-2 inch depth to monitor surface soil acidity (pH only).

A starter fertilizer is often beneficial in stimulating early plant growth, especially on cold wet soils. A complete starter fertilizer should supply 20 to 30 lbs/A of N, P₂O₅, and K₂O. If FIV-P is 150 or greater a P Site index calculation may prohibit addition of starter P₂O₅. If FIV-P is less than 100, then P₂O₅ may be applied to meet the total recommended. At sidedressing, subtract any starter N fertilizer already applied from the total recommended for the growing season.

^a Nitrogen recommendations assume split applications (sidedress or fertigation).

No-tillage corn silage:

Where N solution (UAN) is the N source, N rate assumes injection.

- If sidedress UAN is broadcast after planting using drop nozzles, increase rate by 15%.
- If sidedress UAN is dribbled after planting, increase rate by 5-10%.
- If sidedress N source is granulated urea, increase rate by 25%.

^b For corn silage yields above 20 tons / A, adjust N as follows:

- Increase nitrogen rate by 7 lb / ton for each ton of expected yield between 20 and 40 tons / A.
- For expected corn silage yields greater than 40 tons / A, no additional nitrogen is recommended.

^c For corn silage yields above 20 tons / A, adjust P₂O₅ as follows:

- If phosphorus soil test index is less than 51, increase P₂O₅ 5 lbs / A for each additional ton of expected yield over 20 tons / A.
- If phosphorus soil test index is between 51 and 100, increase P₂O₅ 2.5 lbs / A for each additional ton of expected yield over 20 tons / A.
- If phosphorus soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

^d For corn silage yields above 20 tons / A, adjust K₂O as follows:

- If potassium soil test index is less than 100, increase K₂O 7 lbs / A for each additional ton of expected yield over 20 tons / A.
- If potassium soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

Table 3. Plant Nutrient Recommendations Based on Soil Tests and Yield Goals for Small Grain Production

Crop	Nitrogen (N) pounds per acre ^a	Recommended Nutrients Based on Soil Tests								Suggested methods of application
		Soil Phosphorus Level				Soil Potassium Level				
		Low	Med-ium	Opti-mum	Exces-sive	Low	Med-ium	Opti-mum	Exces-sive	
		P ₂ O ₅ pounds per acre ^{b,d}				K ₂ O pounds per acre ^{e,g}				
Wheat, Barley (fall) Yield goal 100 bu/acre	0-30**	100-140*	80-100*	20-80*	0	100-140*	80-100*	20-80*	0	Broadcast at planting Topdress half at greenup*** and half at Feeke's growth stage 5-6
Wheat, Barley (spring)	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Wheat, Barley - Double Crop Soybeans (fall) Yield goal 100 bu/A	0-30**	70-165*	45-100*	20-85*	0	75-155*	35-105*	20-85*	0	Broadcast at planting Topdress half at greenup*** and half at Feeke's growth stage 5-6
Wheat, Barley - Double Crop Soybeans (spring)	100	70-100*	40	0	0	70-100*	50	0	0	
Rye, Winter Oats (fall) Rye yield goal 60 bu/A Oats yield goal 70 bu/A	0-30	60-100*	40-60*	20-40*	0	60	100	40-60*	20-40	Broadcast and disk in or drill with seed Topdress at greenup
Rye, Winter Oats (spring)	70-80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rye, Winter Oats - Double Crop Soybeans (fall) Yield goal 100 bu/A	0-30**	70-165*	45-100*	20-85*	0	75-155*	35-105*	20-85*	0	Broadcast or drill in fall
Rye, Winter Oats - Double Crop Soybeans (spring)	70-80	70-100*	40	0	0	70-100*	40	0	0	Topdress at greenup
Spring Oats Yield goal 60-65 bu/A	30-60	60-100*	40-60*	20-40*	0	60-100*	40-60*	20-40*	0	Broadcast and disk in or drill with seed
Small Grain - Legume Interseeded	20-40	75-125*	50-75*	20-50*	0	75-120*	45-75	20-45*	0	Total Broadcast or drill in fall Topdress
	0-20	75-125*	50-75*	20-50*	0	75-120*	45-75*	20-45*	0	
	0-20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

TABLE 3. NOTES

For small grains: Total nitrogen application rate is 1 lb N per bushel of expected yield.

- * Where ranges of nutrients are indicated for phosphorus and potassium, the precise amount of plant nutrient required depends upon the numerical soil test index value for that nutrient.
- ** Fall nitrogen rate depends upon residual soil nitrate concentration. Nitrogen may not be applied in fall if soil nitrate test is greater than 10 ppm for wheat or greater than 15 ppm for barley. Soil nitrate tests shall be based on sampling to a depth of 8 inches. Organic nutrients, including manure, may be fall-applied in accordance with the Maryland Nutrient Management Manual, Section I-D-1, Section III.C.2.
- *** Topdress half at 1200 Growing Degree Units (GDU) base 32°F, but no earlier than February 15, and half at Feekes growth stage 5-6 (or 1350 GDD₃₂)

^aFor all small grains production systems (conventional-tillage or no-tillage):

- If topdress N solution (UAN) is surface broadcast, increase rate by 15-20%.
- If topdress UAN is dribbled or streamed on the soil surface, increase rate by 5-10%.
- If topdress N source is granulated urea, increase rate by 25%.

^bFor wheat & barley yield goals above 100 bu/acre, adjust P₂O₅ as follows:

- If phosphorus soil test index is less than 51, increase P₂O₅ by 1 lb/acre for each bushel of expected yield above 100 bu/acre.
- If phosphorus soil test index is between 51 and 100, increase P₂O₅ by 0.5 lb/acre for each bushel of expected yield above 100 bu/acre.
- If phosphorus soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

^cFor wheat & barley yield goals above 100 bu/acre, adjust K₂O as follows:

- If potassium soil test index is less than 51, increase K₂O by 1 lb K₂O/acre for each bushel of expected yield above 100 bu/acre.
- If potassium soil test index is between 51 and 100, increase K₂O by 0.5 lb K₂O/acre for each bushel of expected yield above 100 bu/acre.
- If potassium soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

^dFor all small grain/double-crop soybean rotation with double-crop soybean yield goal above 40 bu/acre, adjust P₂O₅ as follows:

- If phosphorus soil test index is less than 51, increase P₂O₅ by 1.5 lb/acre for every bushel of expected double-crop soybean yield over 40 bu/acre.
- If phosphorus soil test index is between 51 and 100, increase P₂O₅ by 0.75 lb/acre for every bushel of expected double-crop soybean yield over 40 bu/acre.
- If phosphorus soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

^eFor all small grain - double-crop soybean rotation with double-crop soybean yield goal above 40 bu/acre, adjust K₂O as follows:

- If potassium soil test index is less than 51, add 3 lb/acre K₂O for every bushel of expected double-crop soybean yield over 40 bu/acre.
- If potassium soil test index is between 51 and 100, add 1.5 lb/A K₂O for every bushel of expected double-crop soybean yield over 40 bu/acre.
- If potassium soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

Table 4. Plant Nutrient Recommendations Based on Soil Tests and Yield Goals for Soybean and Other Oilseed Production

Crop	Nitrogen (N) pounds per acre	Recommended Nutrients Based on Soil Tests								Suggested methods of application
		Soil Phosphorus Level				Soil Potassium Level				
		Low	Med-ium	Opti-mum	Exces sive	Low	Med-ium	Opti-mum	Exces sive	
		P ₂ O ₅ pounds per acre ^{a,c}				K ₂ O pounds per acre ^{b,d}				
Soybean (full season)^{***} (40 bu / A yield goal)	0**	80-120*	45-80*	20-45*	0	80-125*	40-80*	20-40*	0	Broadcast or banded at planting
Canola	70-100*	60-80*	40-60*	20-40*	0	60-80*	40-60*	20-40*	0	Total
	20-40*	60-80*	40-60*	20-40*	0	60-80*	40-60*	20-40*	0	Broadcast
	50-60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Topdress
Sunflower^e (0.5 ton seed / A yield goal)	50	30	20	0	0	30	20	0	0	Broadcast at planting

NOTES:

* Where ranges of nutrients are indicated for phosphorus and potassium, precise amount of plant nutrient required depends upon the numerical soil test index value for that nutrient.

** Nitrogen is not needed for soybean production; however, in order to meet crop needs for phosphorous, organic nutrients, including manure, may be applied at up to 50 lb N/acre. The rate may not exceed any phosphorous rate limits imposed by phosphorous site index calculation when FIV-P is 150 or greater.

*** Apply organic nutrients to small grain/double-crop soybean rotations at rates and timings to supply only the recommended nitrogen rate to the small grain crop.

^a For full-season soybean yield goals above 40 bu/A, adjust P₂O₅ as follows:

- If phosphorus soil test index is less than 51, increase P₂O₅ by 1.5 lb/A for each additional bushel of expected yield over 40 bu/A.
- If phosphorus soil test index is between 51 and 100, increase P₂O₅ by 0.75 lb/A for each additional bushel of expected yield over 40 bu/A.
- If phosphorus soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

^b For full-season soybean yield goals above 40 bu/A, adjust K₂O as follows:

- If potassium soil test index is less than 51, increase K₂O by 3 lb/A for each bushel of expected yield over 40 bu/A.
- If potassium soil test index is between 51 and 100, increase K₂O by 1.5 lb/A for each bushel of expected yield over 40 bu/A.
- If potassium soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

^c For all small grain - double-crop soybean rotation with double-crop soybean yield goal above 40 bu/A, adjust P₂O₅ as follows:

- If phosphorus soil test index is less than 51, increase P₂O₅ by 1.5 lb/A for every bushel of expected double-crop soybean yield over 40 bu/A.
- If phosphorus soil test index is between 51 and 100, increase P₂O₅ by 0.75 lb/A for every bushel of expected double-crop soybean yield over 40 bu/A.
- If phosphorus soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

^d For all small grain - double-crop soybean rotation with double-crop soybean yield goal above 40 bu/A, adjust K₂O as follows:

- If potassium soil test index is less than 51, add 3 lb/A K₂O for every bushel of expected double-crop soybean yield over 40 bu/A.
- If potassium soil test index is between 51 and 100, add 1.5 lb/A K₂O for every bushel of expected double-crop soybean yield over 40 bu/A.
- If potassium soil test index is greater than 100, no adjustment is necessary.

^e For sunflower seed yield goals between 0.5 and 1.5 tons/A, adjust as follows:

- Add 25 lb N/A for each 0.25 tons/A of expected yield above 0.5 tons/A.
- Add 5 lb P₂O₅/A for each 0.25 tons/A of expected yield above 0.5 tons/A.
- Add 10 lb K₂O/A for each 0.25 tons/A of expected yield above 0.5 tons/A.
- For expected yields greater than 1.5 tons/A, no additional nutrients are necessary.

NUTRIENT APPLICATION GUIDELINES

Source: Maryland Department of Agriculture 2011

Regulatory Citation: COMAR 15.20.07.02

I. GENERAL GUIDELINES

- A. This document addresses (1) **Setbacks for Nutrient Application**, (2) **Application Timing for all nutrients, organic and chemical**, and (3) **Temporary Field Storage of Organic Materials**.

Application of nutrients may vary depending on the crop, season, nutrient source, and weather conditions. A person applying nutrients shall use best management practices, including this "Nutrient Application Guidelines," to maximize plant utilization efficiency as described in Section I-B of the *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual*, and minimize the potential for nutrient movement to sensitive areas and losses to surrounding water bodies, including surface and groundwater.

- B. All materials that provide primary nutrients must be included in, and managed by, a Nutrient Management Plan, including chemical fertilizer, organic materials such as animal manure, sewage sludge or biosolids, food processing wastes/residuals, spray irrigation from wastewater treatment plants, other waste streams containing nutrients, and soil conditioners/amendments. The following guidelines shall be followed by certified consultants in the development of nutrient management plans, and by operators and applicators during plan implementation in order to comply with COMAR 15.20.08.05H and .05I. This document does not supersede Maryland Department of the Environment setback standards and alternatives established under CAFO/MAFO regulations in COMAR 26.08.03.09.

II. SETBACKS FOR NUTRIENT APPLICATION

- A. "Nutrient Application Setback" means a vegetated area of a prescribed width where nutrient-containing material may not be applied, as measured from the edge of surface water. Surface water does not include:
1. Ephemeral streams;
 2. Irrigation and treatment ditches, as defined under "waters" in COMAR 15.20.08.03(B)(39), and
 3. Field ditches, which, for purposes of this exception, are defined as channelized waterways that, as provided in the USDA-NRCS National Cooperative Soil Survey, are not within:
 - a. A floodplain soil mapping unit;
 - b. A hydric soil unit and mapped as a narrow, elongated feature in a fluvial/floodplain position; or
 - c. A soil mapping unit that has a "B" slope class or steeper.
- B. Effective January 1, 2014, a person who applies nutrients shall implement the following nutrient application setback requirements:
1. An application of (a) a liquid nutrient source (*i.e.*, less than 10% dry matter), (b) a dry-organic nutrient source, or (c) any dry fertilizer using a broadcast method (*e.g.*, spinners, splashers) either with or without incorporation, requires a 35-foot setback:

2. A directed spray application or the injection of (a) liquid nutrients or (b) nutrients of any kind requires a 10-foot setback.
 3. Excepting perennial forage crops for hay or pasture, vegetation in the 10-foot setback area may not include plants that would be considered part of the crop grown in the field.
 4. Pastures and hayfields are subject to a 10-foot nutrient application setback; no nutrients shall be applied mechanically or deposited by livestock within the setback.
 5. Sacrifice lots (less than 75% grass or grass legume mix) shall maintain a 35-foot setback.
- C. Operators are responsible for sediment and erosion control of stream crossing areas. Operators shall move livestock from one side of the stream to the other side only through stream crossings designed to prevent erosion and sediment loss. Operators shall gate crossing areas wider than 12 feet. Operators may allow livestock controlled access to streams for watering in accordance with USDA-NRCS Field Office Technical Guide standards and specifications.

III. APPLICATION TIMING

- A. The consultant, applicator, operator, and the certified farm operator shall use the following management guidelines when recommending or applying nutrients throughout the year. These guidelines separately address the use of (1) chemical fertilizers and (2) organic fertilizers. An organic fertilizer is derived from either a plant or animal product, and contains carbon, and one or more elements other than hydrogen and oxygen that are essential for plant growth. Nutrients shall be applied as close to plant nutrient uptake period as possible.

B. Spring and Summer (March 1 – September 9)

1. The consultant, applicator, operator, and certified farm operator shall follow the nutrient application recommendations for crops as specified in the *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual* Section I-B. Organic nutrient sources shall be (a) injected or (b) incorporated as soon as possible, and no later than 72 hours after application. If any of the following conditions exist, the material is not required to be injected or incorporated:
 - a. Livestock manures deposited directly by animals;
 - b. Permanent pastures;
 - c. Land used for hay production;
 - d. Fields containing highly erodible land as defined by USDA-NRCS in its Field Office Technical Guide;
 - e. Fields in which a current soil and water conservation plan or a current USDA/NRCS program requirement prohibits soil disturbance; and
 - f. Land where nutrients are applied to a growing crop through a spray irrigation system.
2. If an organic nutrient source has a moisture content of 89.9% moisture or less, it is not required to be injected. However, if the material is not injected, it must be incorporated unless any of the conditions noted in paragraph B.1 above exist.

C. Fall Application (September 10 thru November 15)

1. Chemical Fertilizers

Chemical fertilizers may be recommended and applied in the Fall, provided, however, the rates and applications are made in accordance with recommendations for Fall-seeded crops or plants found in Section I-B of the *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual*.

2. Organic Fertilizers

a. A person may apply an organic fertilizer in the Fall under the conditions set forth below.

(1) Poultry litter may be applied in the Fall if:

- i. The operation making the application is generating poultry litter;
- ii. The application relates to a whole house clean out that has occurred after May 1st and before November 16th of that year;
- iii. The operation is subject to restrictions for stockpiling litter under a NPDES (Maryland) General Discharge Permit for Animal Feeding Operations; and
- iv. The litter is applied to cropland under the control of the operation generating the litter and its application is consistent with the operation's Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan.

(2) Dairy/Livestock manures or wastes may be applied in the Fall if:

- i. The operation making the application is generating the dairy/livestock manure or waste; and
- ii. Storage is insufficient to accommodate additional materials generated before March 1st of the following year.

(3) Biosolids, food processing waste, and other organic sources may be applied in the Fall where inadequate storage exists to accommodate additional materials generated before March 1st of the following year.

b. General provisions for Fall application of organic sources

(1) If an organic nutrient source is applied in the Fall under the provisions above, the person shall incorporate the material or inject it as required. If the material is not injected, it shall be incorporated as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after application. If any of the following conditions exist, the material is not required to be injected or incorporated:

- i. Livestock manures deposited directly by animals;
- ii. Permanent pastures;
- iii. Land used for hay production;
- iv. Fields containing highly erodible land as defined by USDA-NRCS in its Field Office Technical Guide;
- v. Fields in which a current soil conservation and water plan or a current USDA/NRCS program requirement prohibits soil disturbance;
- vi. Land where nutrients are applied to a growing crop through a spray irrigation system.

- (2) If an organic nutrient source has a moisture content of 89.9% moisture or less, it is not required to be injected. However, if the material is not injected, it must be incorporated unless any of the conditions noted in paragraph b.1 above exist.
 - (3) A person making a Fall-application of an organic nutrient source to cropland is required to plant a cover crop as soon as possible after application, but no later than November 16th. After all cropland opportunities have been exhausted, a person may make a Fall-application on pasture land, hay-land or other acreage under vegetative cover.
 - (4) The rate of nutrient application shall be determined based on recommendations outlined in Section 1-B-1 of the *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual* using either nitrogen or phosphorus-based criteria.
 - i. If the application is phosphorus-based, the phosphorus application rate:
 - (aa) For a Fall-seeded crop, shall be based on the phosphorus recommendations for that crop;
 - (bb) For crops to be planted the following spring (no later than June 1st), may not exceed the one year crop removal rate of phosphorus for the spring-planted crop; and
 - (cc) Shall follow the provisions of the Phosphorus Site Index, as they may otherwise apply.
 - ii. If the application is nitrogen-based, the rate of application for a Fall-seeded crop shall be based on recommendations for plant available nitrogen as outlined in Section 1-B-1 of the *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual*. If the application is related to a crop that is to be planted the following Spring (no later than June 1st), the application of nitrogen may not exceed:
 - (aa) 50% of the plant available nitrogen recommended for the crop; and
 - (bb) 50 lbs. of plant available nitrogen per acre.
3. Applications required in emergency situations such as imminent overflow of a storage facility shall be managed in consultation with the Maryland Department of Agriculture. Operators in such situations shall contact the MDA regional nutrient management representative for guidance.

D. Winter Application (November 16 thru February 28)

1. Chemical Fertilizer

As a general rule, during winter, a person may not apply a chemical fertilizer to cropland. However, for small grains and perennial forage crops, a person may apply nitrogen at green-up when tillering begins as recommended in the *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual* section I-B. In addition, a person may apply certain nutrients for greenhouse production and for other vegetable and small fruit crops listed in the *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual* Section I-B. The restriction on the application of chemical fertilizers during winter also does not apply to potash or liming materials.

2. Organic Fertilizer

- a. A person may apply an organic fertilizer to cropland in winter only if:
 - (1) The operation has inadequate storage (*i.e.*, the storage capacity will be exceeded before March 1 winter application restriction);
 - (2) The nutrient source is non-stackable; and
 - (3) There is no other reasonable option to manage it.

- b. Any such application shall be made in accordance with Section I-B of the *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual*.
- c. Operators and generators of organic nutrient sources should make plans for adequate storage to eliminate the need for a winter application before July 1, 2016.
- d. The following restrictions apply to any such winter application:
 - (1) Nutrient application is prohibited during the winter if (i) the organic nutrient source is stackable (equal to or less than 60 percent moisture content, such as poultry litter), or (ii) adequate storage is available.
 - (2) Nutrient application is prohibited (i) when the soil is saturated, (ii) when the ground is covered with snow, or (iii) on hard-frozen ground.
 - (3) Nutrient application is prohibited to land with a slope greater than 7 percent.
 - (4) Rates of application in the winter shall be minimized and available acreage used to the greatest extent practical. In no case shall the application rate per acre exceed the one-year phosphorus removal rate for the next harvested crop.
 - (5) Winter applications shall be by injection only and made into existing vegetative cover, small grain crops, or established hay fields and pastures. Injection into existing cover may be effected, for example, using vertical tillage equipment such as a Turbo-Till® or with a knifed injection system which minimizes soil disturbance and maximizes vegetative cover. Vegetative cover shall be maintained as such until March 1.
 - (6) If vegetative cover is not used, organic nutrient applications shall be injected in land that has a minimum of 50 percent residue coverage, or residue coverage that is in accordance with a Soil Conservation and Water Quality plan, and is maintained as such until March 1.
 - (7) Applications required in emergency situations such as imminent overflow of a storage facility shall be managed in consultation with the Maryland Department of Agriculture. Operators in such situations shall contact the MDA regional nutrient management representative for guidance.

E. Prohibition against Winter Application

After July 1, 2016, a person may not apply any nutrient source to cropland between November 16 and February 28, except as recommended in the *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual* Section I-B. Certain vegetable and small fruit crops as identified in the *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual* Section I-B and greenhouse production may allow certain nutrients to be applied during winter. This prohibition also does not apply to potash, liming materials, or manure deposited directly by livestock.

IV. TEMPORARY FIELD STORAGE FOR STACKABLE ORGANIC NUTRIENT SOURCES (equal to or less than 60% moisture content)

- A. When other immediate use options and alternatives are not available, temporary stockpiling of organic nutrient sources (up to 120 days) is allowed. To minimize storage time, operators and integrators should cooperate to schedule cleanouts as close to spring planting as possible, thereby providing a source of nutrients that is in phase with crop nutrient needs. Stockpiling the material is preferred over its application in the winter or too far ahead of normal planting time and crop uptake.

- B. The temporary field storage shall be located:
1. If a vegetated buffer is not in place, at least 100 feet from any surface water as defined in COMAR 15.20.08.03(B)(39) and any irrigation or treatment ditches; and if a vegetated buffer is in place, at least 35 feet from any such water;
 2. At least 100 feet from wells, springs, and wetlands; however, if the well is located down gradient from the storage area, at least 300 feet from the well;
 3. At least 200 feet from any residence outside the operator's property;
 4. Outside flood prone areas and areas subject to ponding;
 5. If located on more than a 3 percent grade slope and no diversion installed, no farther than 150 feet from the top of the slope.
- C. Poultry litter and other materials shall be stacked at least 6 feet high and peaked to prevent precipitation from soaking into the pile.
- D. Materials shall be stored in a manner that prevents nutrient runoff.
- E. Storage locations for subsequent piles should stay at the same location, rather than be moved from place to place.
- F. All nutrients shall be removed from the stockpile and the ground area thoroughly scraped or cleaned when the application of the nutrients takes place.
- G. Stockpile site shall be restored to its original condition and, if necessary, reseeded with grass or an agronomic crop to facilitate nutrient uptake.

Guidelines for Application of Soil Conditioners, Soil Amendments, Waste Materials or Effluent on Agricultural Land

(Summary of Existing Guidelines)

The Maryland Department of Agriculture ("MDA") administers programs concerning the registration, labeling and application of commercial fertilizers, organic nutrients, organic wastes, soil conditioners and soil amendments. The Maryland Department of Environment ("MDE") has developed standards and issues discharge permits for, and oversees the safe permissible uses of, solid and liquid byproducts, including those with heavy metals, trace elements, and other pre-application treatment requirements, for various land treatment and water reuse systems.

The purpose of this summary is to provide guidance to certified consultants, agricultural operators, applicators, and agency staff when planning to use soil conditioners, soil amendments, and waste material or effluent on agricultural land. This document explains the requirements for the planned application of these materials, including the requisite laboratory analysis of, and record keeping and reporting requirements for any soil amendment, conditioner or any waste materials not already regulated under State law. Because MDA's State Chemist Section regulates the labeling and distribution of fertilizers and liming materials, this document also provides a brief overview of existing laws and regulations governing this program.

STATE CHEMIST PRODUCT REGISTRATION AND LABELING REQUIREMENTS

Before sale or distribution, all commercially available nutrient products, such as fertilizer, intended for land application must be registered with the MDA State Chemist Section and labeled according to Maryland Commercial Fertilizer Law. Agriculture Article, §§6-201 *et seq.*

Fertilizers sold in bags, other containers, or in bulk must be properly labeled with weight, nutrient content, and any other identifying information.

Soil conditioner materials sold in bags, other containers, or in bulk must be properly labeled with weight and content, and any other identifying information.

All soil conditioners or amendments must be registered with MDA's State Chemist Section.

On a case by case basis, the State Chemist Section may require laboratory tests and other information for evaluation or review to determine the efficacy and safety of the material. Contact the State Chemist Section for more information.

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT REQUIREMENTS

Generators, distributors, and applicators shall follow MDE standards and requirements as specified in discharge permits, to ensure the safe permissible uses of solid or liquid byproducts. Distributors and applicators shall keep records of the laboratory analysis and application of the byproducts, and make timely reporting requirements.

NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

Provider, Distributor, Consultant, and Applicator Responsibilities

A generator or applicator of the byproducts shall provide all labeling information for soil amendments/conditioners and other materials applied to agricultural land, including laboratory test results for plant nutrients (total N, NH₄-N phosphorus and potassium) and organic matter to the farm operator or operator's certified consultant. The materials shall meet the State minimum pre-application treatment requirements. Consultants shall include all nutrient sources and soil conditioners with their respective mineralization rates in the operation's nutrient management plan. Application rates and restrictions must follow the nutrient management plan recommendations. Consultant recommendations shall be consistent with *Maryland Nutrient Management Manual*.

Labeling information for soil amendments/conditioners and other materials applied to agricultural land, including laboratory test results for plant nutrients (total N, NH₄-N phosphorus and potassium) and organic matter, must be provided to the operator as part of the nutrient management plan. All plan information including labeling shall be kept in both the consultant's and operator's records and made available to State agency staff for inspection.

Persons applying the material must be credentialed by MDA as a:

- Certified Nutrient Management Consultant or supervise an employee who does the application;
- Certified Farmer/Operator on one's own land only or supervise an employee's work; or
- Nutrient Applicator Voucher holder (on one's own land only).

Application rates must be in accordance with the nutrient management plan. Applicators shall keep records on applied materials including label information, test results, field application dates, material application rate (in tons per acre, or gallons per acre rates) and application rates of actual nitrogen, phosphate and potash applied (in pounds per acre). A copy of information about the applied materials and other such records, including consultant information (e.g., name, certificate & license number), must be provided to operator.

Farmer/Operator Responsibilities

The agricultural operator is responsible for having a current nutrient management plan that accounts for all nutrient sources, soil conditioners, and any other substances applied to the land. Operators shall:

- Update and adjust the current plan if this material was not included in it.
- Oversee and assure that all materials applied are based on nutrient management plan recommendations.
- Obtain all records from the applicator and keep them with other plan records required by regulations. The records should include:
 - Supplier or source name and address;
 - Material name, origin and composition;
 - Labeling information and laboratory analysis for plant nutrients (total N, NH₄-N phosphorus and potassium - *even if no nutrient claims are made*), organic matter, and pH;
 - Field specific application rates and the amount of material applied for crops specified in the plan for each field;
 - Material application rate (in tons per acre, or gallons per acre);
 - Application rates of actual nitrogen, phosphate and potash (in pounds per acre);
 - Application method and spreader calibration calculations; and,
 - Name, address and nutrient management certification number and license number of applicator.

Operators may contact MDA Nutrient Management Program for assistance with unlisted materials and requirements for application of soil conditioners/amendments.

Farmer/Operator Rights

Bulk fertilizers supplied to a farmer or operator must be accompanied by a statement that includes the appropriate net content declaration, the brand and grade, the guaranteed analysis, and the name and address of the manufacturer or registrant. This information will help the farmer or operator determine whether the material is acceptable for application on the farm in consultation with a certified nutrient management consultant.

Fertilizer sold in bags or other containers must be properly labeled with nutrient values and any other identifying information. These labels are on file and available for review at MDA's State Chemist Section.

Compliance with Maryland's nutrient management regulations regarding use of soil conditioners or amendments is ultimately the responsibility of the farmer or operator.

For more information or to report a possible violation of these regulations contact:

Maryland Department of Agriculture

Nutrient Management Program

50 Harry S Truman Pkwy Room 207

Annapolis, MD 21401

Phone 410-841-5959

Fax 410-841-5950; or

State Chemist Section

50 Harry S Truman Pkwy Room 511

Annapolis, MD 21401

Phone 410-841-2721

Fax 410-841-2740